

ON THE BRILL-NOETHER PROBLEM FOR VECTOR BUNDLES

GEORGIOS D. DASKALOPOULOS AND RICHARD A. WENTWORTH

ABSTRACT. On an arbitrary compact Riemann surface, necessary and sufficient conditions are found for the existence of semistable vector bundles with slope between zero and one and a prescribed number of linearly independent holomorphic sections. Existence is achieved by minimizing the Yang-Mills-Higgs functional.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we exhibit the existence of semistable vector bundles on compact Riemann surfaces with a prescribed number of linearly independent holomorphic sections. This may be regarded as a higher rank version of the classical Brill-Noether problem for line bundles.

Fix a compact Riemann surface Σ of genus $g \geq 2$ and integers r and d satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \leq d \leq r, \quad r \geq 2.$$

Then the main result may be stated as follows:

Main Theorem . *Let k be a positive integer and suppose that r and d satisfy (1.1). Then the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a semistable bundle on Σ with at least k linearly independent holomorphic sections are $k \leq r$ and if $d \neq 0$, $r \leq d + (r - k)g$.*

By analogy with the classical situation of special divisors (cf. [1, 7]) one can define the higher rank version of the Brill-Noether number:

$$(1.2) \quad \rho_{r,d}^{k-1} = r^2(g-1) + 1 - k(k-d+r(g-1)).$$

Then $\rho_{r,d}^{k-1}$ is the formal dimension of the locus $W_{r,d}^{k-1}$ in the moduli space of semistable bundles of rank r and degree d . $W_{r,d}^{k-1}$ is defined as the closure of the set of stable bundles with at least k linearly independent sections. Note that the condition in the Main Theorem implies that $\rho_{r,d}^{k-1} \geq 1$, except in the trivial case $d = 0$ where $W_{r,d}^{k-1}$ is necessarily empty. The converse, in general, is not true. Thus, unlike the case of divisors, there are situations where $\rho_{r,d}^{k-1} \geq 0$ and $W_{r,d}^{k-1} = \emptyset$.

Date: October 4, 1995.

Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9504297.

Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9503635 and a Sloan Fellowship.

It would be interesting to improve the Main Theorem to a statement concerning stable bundles; however, our method does not immediately imply such a result except in special cases. We do have the following:

Corollary . (i) (see [9, Thm III.2.4]) *For $d > 0$ and any rank r , there exists a stable bundle of rank r and degree d with a nontrivial holomorphic section.*
(ii) *If $0 < d < r$ and $r \leq d + g$, then there exists a stable bundle of rank r and degree d with precisely $r - 1$ linearly independent sections.*

Instead of the constructive approach to theorems of this type taken in references [9, 10], we use a variational method. More precisely, we study the Morse theory of the Yang-Mills-Higgs functional (cf. [3]). The idea is simply the following: Let $(A^i, \vec{\varphi}^i)$ be a minimizing sequence with respect to the Yang-Mills-Higgs functional (2.1). Here, $\vec{\varphi}^i = (\varphi_1^i, \dots, \varphi_k^i)$ is a k -tuple of linearly independent holomorphic sections with respect to A^i . The minimal critical values correspond to solutions to the k - τ -vortex equations, which for an appropriate choice of τ imply that the limiting holomorphic structure is semistable (cf. [2]). If the sequence is assumed to converge to a nonminimal critical value, then we show that under the assumptions of the Main Theorem there exist “negative directions” which contradict the fact that the sequence is minimizing.

The energy estimates used closely follow [6]. However, an extra combinatorial argument is needed to ensure that the bundles constructed have the correct number of holomorphic sections, and this is where the assumption $r \leq d + (r - k)g$ is needed.

We have been informed that the Main Theorem stated above has been proven using somewhat different methods in [5].

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank L. Brambila Paz for introducing them to this problem and for several useful discussions during the preparation of this manuscript. They are also grateful for the warm hospitality of UAM, Mexico and the Max-Planck Institute in Bonn, where a portion of this work was completed.

2. THE YANG-MILLS-HIGGS FUNCTIONAL

Let Σ , d , and r be as in the Introduction, and let k be a positive integer. Let E be a fixed hermitian vector bundle on Σ of rank r and degree d . Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the space of hermitian connections on E , $\Omega^0(E)$ the space of smooth sections of E , and $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{A} \times \Omega^0(E)^{\oplus k}$ the subspace consisting of holomorphic k -pairs. Thus,

$$\mathcal{H} = \{(A, \vec{\varphi} = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k)) : D_A'' \varphi_i = 0, \ i = 1, \dots, k\} \ .$$

Given a real parameter τ , we define the Yang-Mills-Higgs functional:

$$(2.1) \quad f_\tau(A, \vec{\varphi}) = \|F_A\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k \|D_A \varphi_i\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i \otimes \varphi_i^* - \tau \mathbf{I} \right\|^2 - 2\pi\tau d$$

In the above, the $\|\cdot\|$ denotes L^2 norms. Using a Weitzenböck formula we obtain (cf. [3, Theorem 4.2])

$$f_\tau(A, \vec{\varphi}) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \|D_A'' \varphi_i\|^2 + \left\| \sqrt{-1} \Lambda F_A + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i \otimes \varphi_i^* - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathbf{I} \right\|^2,$$

and therefore the absolute minimum of f_τ consists of holomorphic k -pairs satisfying the k - τ -vortex equations discussed in [2].

Proposition 2.1. (i) *The L^2 -gradient of f_τ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{(A, \vec{\varphi})} f_\tau)_1 &= D_A^* F_A + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k (D_A \varphi_j \otimes \varphi_j^* - \varphi_j \otimes D_A \varphi_j^*) \\ (\nabla_{(A, \vec{\varphi})} f_\tau)_{2,i} &= \Delta_A \varphi_i - \frac{\tau}{2} \varphi_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k \langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle \varphi_j \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If $(A, \vec{\varphi}) \in \mathcal{H}$, then under the usual identification $\Omega^0(\Sigma, \text{ad } E) \simeq \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, \text{End } E)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{(A, \vec{\varphi})} f_\tau)_1 &= -D_A'' \left(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda F_A + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j \otimes \varphi_j^* \right) \\ (\nabla_{(A, \vec{\varphi})} f_\tau)_{2,i} &= \sqrt{-1} \Lambda F_A(\varphi_i) - \frac{\tau}{2} \varphi_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k \langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle \varphi_j \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *If $(A, \vec{\varphi}) \in \mathcal{H}$ is a critical point of f_τ , then either (I) $\vec{\varphi} \equiv 0$ and A is a direct sum of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections (not necessarily of the same slope), or (II) A splits as $A = A' \oplus A_Q$ on $E = E' \oplus E_Q$, where $(A', \vec{\varphi})$ solves the k - τ -vortex equations and A_Q is a direct sum of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections (not necessarily of the same slope).*

Proof. (i) is a standard calculation, and (ii) follows from (i) via the Kähler identities. We are going to prove (iii). If $(A, \vec{\varphi})$ is critical, then since $\sqrt{-1} \Lambda F_A + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j \otimes \varphi_j^*$ is a self-adjoint holomorphic endomorphism, it must give a splitting $A = A_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_\ell$ according to its distinct (constant)

eigenvalues $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_\ell$. Write

$$\sqrt{-1}\Lambda F_A = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j \otimes \varphi_j^* + \sigma_0 \mathbf{I} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1 \mathbf{I} & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & & \sigma_\ell \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sqrt{-1}\Lambda F_A(\varphi_i) - \frac{\tau}{2}\varphi_i + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k \langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle \varphi_j \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k \langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle \varphi_j + \sigma_0 \varphi_i - \frac{\tau}{2}\varphi_i + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k \langle \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle \varphi_j \\ &= \left(\sigma_0 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) \varphi_i, \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain either Case I or Case II, depending upon whether $\vec{\varphi} \equiv 0$. $\square$

Next, recall that $\mathcal{H}$ is an infinite dimensional complex analytic variety whose tangent space is given by the kernel of a certain differential defined in [2, 3.15]. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}$ is preserved by the action of the complex gauge group $\mathfrak{G}^\mathbb{C}$. We have the following:

Proposition 2.2. *If $(A, \vec{\varphi}) \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\nabla_{(A, \vec{\varphi})} f_\tau$ is tangent to the orbits of $\mathfrak{G}^\mathbb{C}$. In particular, $\nabla_{(A, \vec{\varphi})} f_\tau$ is tangent to $\mathcal{H}$ itself.*

Proof. Set $u = \sqrt{-1}\Lambda F_A + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j \otimes \varphi_j^* - \frac{\tau}{2}\mathbf{I}$. By Proposition 2.1 (ii) we have that $\nabla_{(A, \vec{\varphi})} f_\tau = d_1(u)$, where d_1 is the differential defined in [2, 3.15]. The Proposition follows. $\square$

Because of Proposition 2.2, the critical points of the functional f_τ restricted to $\mathcal{H}$ are characterized by Proposition 2.1 (iii).

A solution $(A(t), \vec{\varphi}(t))$, $t \in [0, t_0]$ to the initial value problem

$$(2.2) \quad \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \vec{\varphi}}{\partial t} \right) = -\nabla_{(A, \vec{\varphi})} f_\tau, \quad (A(0), \vec{\varphi}(0)) = (A_0, \vec{\varphi}_0),$$

is called the L^2 -gradient flow of f_τ starting at $(A_0, \vec{\varphi}_0)$. Notice that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} f_\tau(A(t), \vec{\varphi}(t)) = -\|\nabla_{(A(t), \vec{\varphi}(t))} f_\tau\|^2,$$

and so the energy decreases along the L^2 -gradient flow.

Proposition 2.3. *Given $(A_0, \vec{\varphi}_0) \in \mathcal{H}$, there is a $t_0 > 0$ such that the L^2 -gradient flow exists for $0 \leq t < t_0$.*

Proof. The proof is an application of the implicit function theorem as in [8]. $\square$

3. TECHNICAL LEMMAS

In this section we collect several results needed for the proof of the Main Theorem. Throughout, E will denote a holomorphic bundle of rank r and degree d on the compact Riemann surface Σ .

Lemma 3.1. *Let E be as above with $0 \leq d \leq r$ and $h^0(E) = k$. If either (i) E is semistable, or (ii) E satisfies the k - τ -vortex equation for some $0 < \tau < 1$ and E does not contain the trivial bundle as a split factor; then $k \leq r$ and if $d \neq 0$, $r \leq d + (r - k)g$.*

Proof. We first show that $k \leq r$. Suppose $k \geq r$. Thus, E has at least r linearly independent holomorphic sections. If the sections generate E at every point, then $E \simeq \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}$; in which case $d = 0$ and $k = r$. Suppose the sections fail to generate at every point. Then we can find a point $p \in \Sigma$ and a section of E vanishing at p . Thus E contains $\mathcal{O}(p)$ as a subsheaf, which is a contradiction to (ii). If (i) is assumed, then E is strictly semistable with $d = r$, and the bound $k \leq r$ follows from induction on the rank. Note that the second inequality is also satisfied in this case.

Assume $0 < d < r$. In both cases (i) and (ii) we obtain $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus k} \xrightarrow{\pi} E \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$, where F is locally free. By dualizing and taking the resulting long exact sequence in cohomology, we find

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(F^*) \longrightarrow H^0(E^*) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^0(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus k}) \longrightarrow H^1(F^*) .$$

We are going to show that $H^0(E^*) = 0$. The result then follows by the Riemann-Roch formula. For (i), $H^0(E^*) = 0$ by semistability. For (ii), note first that $\delta = 0$. For if not, there would be a section $s : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow E^*$ with $\pi^* \circ s = \sigma \neq 0$. But σ could not have any zeros, and so $\mathcal{O}$ would be a split factor in E^* ; hence, also in E . Secondly, we show that $H^0(F^*) = 0$. Let $L \subset F^*$ be a subbundle. Then τ -stability immediately implies $c_1(L^*) > \tau > 0$. Thus, in particular, F^* cannot contain $\mathcal{O}$ as a subsheaf. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Let E_1, E_2 be holomorphic bundles of rank r_1, r_2 and degree d_1, d_2 , satisfying $0 \leq \mu_1 = d_1/r_1 < d_2/r_2 = \mu_2 \leq 1$. Suppose $h^0(E_1) = k_1 \leq r_1$, $h^0(E_2) = k_2 \leq r_2$, and*

$$d_2 + (r_2 - k_2 - 1)g < r_2 \leq d_2 + (r_2 - k_2)g .$$

Furthermore,

- *If $d_1 \neq 0$ assume $r_1 \leq d_1 + (r_1 - k_1)g$.*
- *If $d_1 = 0$ and $k_1 = r_1$, assume $r_2 < d_2 + (r_2 - k_2)g$.*

Then there exists a nontrivial extension $0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow 0$ such that $h^0(E) = k_1 + k_2$.

Proof. If $k_2 = 0$, the result follows from Riemann-Roch. Suppose $k_2 \geq 1$. The condition that the k_2 sections of E_2 lift for some nontrivial extension is

$k_2 h^1(E_1) < h^1(E_1 \otimes E_2^*)$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} h^1(E_1) &= h^0(E_1) - d_1 + r_1(g-1) = k_1 - d_1 + r_1(g-1) \\ h^1(E_1 \otimes E_2^*) &= h^0(E_1 \otimes E_2^*) + r_1 r_2 (\mu_2 - \mu_1 + g - 1) \\ &\geq r_1 r_2 (\mu_2 - \mu_1 + g - 1) , \end{aligned}$$

hence, it suffices to show that

$$k_2(k_1 - d_1 + r_1(g-1)) < r_1 r_2 (\mu_2 - \mu_1 + g - 1) ,$$

or equivalently, that

$$(3.1) \quad r_1(d_2 - r_2 + (r_2 - k_2)g) - r_2 d_1 + k_2 d_1 - k_1 k_2 + k_2 r_1 > 0 .$$

Now if $k_2 = r_2 = d_2$, then (3.1) is trivially satisfied by the hypotheses. Similarly for $d_1 = 0$. So assume $k_2 \leq r_2 - 1$, $d_1 \neq 0$. Write $d_2 = r_2 - (r_2 - k_2)g + p$, where $0 \leq p < g$ by assumption. On the other hand,

$$d_1 < r_1 \frac{d_2}{r_2} \leq (d_1 + (r_1 - k_1)g) \frac{r_2 - (r_2 - k_2)g + p}{r_2} ,$$

which is equivalent to

$$-\frac{d_1 p}{g} + k_1 p + (r_1 - k_1)(r_2 - k_2)(g-1) < r_1 p - r_2 d_2 + k_2 d_1 - k_1 k_2 + k_2 r_1 .$$

Therefore, (3.1) will follow from

$$(3.2) \quad -\frac{d_1 p}{g} + k_1 p + (r_1 - k_1)(r_2 - k_2)(g-1) \geq 0 .$$

Now if $p = 0$ then (3.2) is trivially satisfied. Assume that $1 \leq p \leq g-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{d_1 p}{g} + k_1 p + (r_1 - k_1)(r_2 - k_2)(g-1) \\ &\geq -d_1 + r_1 p - (r_1 - k_1)p + (r_1 - k_1)(r_2 - k_2)(g-1) \\ &\geq (r_1 - d_1) + (r_1 - k_1)(r_2 - k_2 - 1)(g-1) \\ &\geq 0 , \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.2), (3.1), and hence the Lemma. $\square$

In order to get an upper bound on the infimum of the Yang-Mills-Higgs functional in the next section, we shall need the following construction and energy estimate:

Lemma 3.3. *Assume $0 < d < r$, $k \geq 1$, and $r \leq d + (r - k)g$. Let F be a holomorphic bundle of degree d and rank $r - 1$ with $h^0(F) = k - 1$. Then there exists a non-split extension $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ with $h^0(E) = k$.*

Proof. The condition for all of the sections of F to lift is

$$\begin{aligned} (k-1)h^1(\mathcal{O}) < h^1(F^*) &\iff g(k-1) < d + (r-1)(g-1) \\ &\iff r < d + (r-k)g + 1 , \end{aligned}$$

and hence the result. $\square$

Proposition 3.4 (cf. [6, Prop. 3.5]). *Let E_1, E_2 be hermitian bundles with slope μ_1, μ_2 . Let A_1, A_2 be hermitian connections on E_1, E_2 , and $\vec{\varphi}^1, \vec{\varphi}^2$ be k_1 and k_2 tuples of holomorphic sections. Set $k = k_1 + k_2$. Let τ_1, τ_2 and τ be real numbers satisfying $\mu_1 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau < \mu_2 \leq \tau_2$, and assume that $(A_1, \vec{\varphi}^1)$ and $(A_2, \vec{\varphi}^2)$ satisfy the τ_1 and τ_2 vortex equations, respectively. Set $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$, $\varphi_i = (\varphi_i^1, 0)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k_1$, and $\varphi_{k_1+i} = (0, \varphi_i^2)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k_2$. Then there exist constants $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \eta > 0$ such that for all*

$$\beta \in H^{0,1}(\Sigma, \text{Hom}(E_2, E_1)) \quad , \quad \vec{\psi} \in \Omega^0(E)^{\oplus k} \quad ,$$

with $\|\beta\| = \varepsilon_1$, $\|\vec{\psi}\| \leq \varepsilon_2$, and

$$\left(A_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \beta \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{\varphi} + \vec{\psi} \right) \in \mathcal{H} \quad ,$$

it follows that $f_\tau(A_\beta, \vec{\varphi} + \vec{\psi}) < f_\tau(A_1 \oplus A_2, \vec{\varphi}) - \eta$.

Proof. By assumption,

$$\sqrt{-1}\Lambda F_{A_\ell} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k_\ell} \varphi_j^\ell \otimes (\varphi_j^\ell)^* = \frac{\tau}{2} \mathbf{I}_\ell \quad , \quad \ell = 1, 2 \quad .$$

It follows that

$$\sqrt{-1}\Lambda F_{A_1 \oplus A_2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k_1} \varphi_j^1 \otimes (\varphi_j^1)^* + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k_2} \varphi_j^2 \otimes (\varphi_j^2)^* - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\tau_1 - \tau}{2} \mathbf{I}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\tau_2 - \tau}{2} \mathbf{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The argument of [6, pp. 715-716] shows that there is a constant ε_1 such that for β and A_β as in the statement,

$$f_\tau(A_\beta, \varphi_1^1, \dots, \varphi_{k_1}^1, \varphi_1^2, \dots, \varphi_{k_2}^2) < f_\tau(A_1 \oplus A_2, \varphi_1^1, \dots, \varphi_{k_1}^1, \varphi_1^2, \dots, \varphi_{k_2}^2) \quad .$$

Now if we take ε_2 sufficiently small the Proposition follows (note that which norms we use is irrelevant, since β and $\vec{\varphi} + \vec{\psi}$ satisfy elliptic equations, and hence the L^2 norm is equivalent to any other). $\square$

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Necessity of the conditions follows from Lemma 3.1, and sufficiency for $d = 0$ or $d = r$ is clear as well. To prove sufficiency in general, we shall proceed by induction on the rank. The case $r = 2$, $d = 1$ is clear from a direct construction. Assume the Main Theorem holds for bundles of rank $< r$. We show that it holds for r as well. Let $\mathcal{H}^* \subset \mathcal{H}$ denote the subspace of k -pairs $(A, \vec{\varphi} = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k))$ such that the sections $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ are linearly independent. Fix τ as in Assumption 1 of [4], i.e. $\mu(E) < \tau = \mu(E) + \gamma < \mu_+$, where μ_+ is the smallest possible slope greater than $\mu = \mu(E)$ of a subbundle of E (note that $0 < \tau < 1$ and that we also normalize the volume of Σ to be 4π).

Lemma 4.1. *Let $m = \inf_{\mathcal{H}^*} f_\tau$. Then $0 \leq m < \pi/(r-1)$.*

Proof. Let F be a vector bundle of degree d and rank $r - 1$. Then by the inductive hypothesis, we may assume there exist hermitian connections A_1 and A_2 on $\mathcal{O}$ and F , respectively, and holomorphic sections $\varphi_1 \neq 0$ in $H^0(\Sigma, \mathcal{O})$, and $\varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k$ linearly independent sections in $H^0(\Sigma, F)$, such that (A_1, φ_1) and $(A_2, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k)$ satisfy the τ_1 and τ_2 vortex equations, respectively, for $\tau_1 = \tau$, $\tau_2 = d/(r - 1) + \gamma$. It follows from Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 that there is a nontrivial extension $\beta : 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$, and $\vec{\psi}$ such that $(A_\beta, \vec{\varphi} + \vec{\psi}) \in \mathcal{H}^*$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f_\tau(A_\beta, \vec{\varphi} + \vec{\psi}) &< f_\tau(A_1 \oplus A_2, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k) - \eta \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d}{r-1} - \frac{d}{r} \right) \mathbf{I}_F \right\|^2 - \eta < \frac{\pi}{r-1} . \end{aligned}$$

□

Let $(A^i, \vec{\varphi}^i)$ be a minimizing sequence in $\mathcal{H}^*$. Thus, $f_\tau(A^i, \vec{\varphi}^i) \rightarrow m$. By weak compactness (more precisely, see the argument in [4, Lemma 5]) there is a subsequence converging to $(A^\infty, \vec{\varphi}^\infty)$ in the C^∞ topology. By the continuity of f_τ with respect to the C^∞ topology, Propositions 2.3 and 2.2, and equation (2.3), it follows that $(A^\infty, \vec{\varphi}^\infty)$ is a critical point of f_τ . If the holomorphic structure E^∞ defined by A^∞ is semistable, then by semicontinuity of cohomology we are finished. We therefore assume E^∞ is unstable and derive a contradiction. According to Proposition 2.1 (iii) we must consider the following cases:

- (I) $\vec{\varphi}^\infty = 0$, $E^\infty = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_\ell$
- (II) $\vec{\varphi}^\infty \neq 0$, $E^\infty = E_\varphi \oplus E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_\ell$

Set $\mu_j = \mu(E_j)$, and assume $\mu_1 < \dots < \mu_\ell$. If $\mu_\ell > 1$ (or similarly, $\mu_1 < 0$), then

$$f_\tau(A^\infty, \vec{\varphi}^\infty) \geq \pi(\mu_\ell - \tau)^2 r_\ell \geq \pi(\mu_\ell - 1)^2 r_\ell \geq \frac{\pi}{r_\ell} \geq \frac{\pi}{r-1} > m ,$$

contradicting Lemma 4.1. We therefore rule out this possibility. We will consider Cases I and II separately.

Case I. Let $k_i = h^0(E_i)$. By semicontinuity of cohomology, $\sum_{i=1}^\ell k_i \geq k$. If $\mu_\ell = 1$, then we may replace E_ℓ by a Hermitian-Yang-Mills bundle $\widehat{E}_\ell$ with exactly $\hat{k}_\ell = r_\ell$ sections. Hence, we may assume that

$$d_\ell + (r_\ell - \hat{k}_\ell - 1)g < r_\ell \leq d_\ell + (r_\ell - \hat{k}_\ell)g .$$

For $1 < i < \ell$, the inductive hypothesis implies that we may replace E_i by a Hermitian-Yang-Mills bundle $\widehat{E}_i$ with

$$h^0(\widehat{E}_i) = \hat{k}_i = \left\lceil \frac{d_i + r_i(g-1)}{g} \right\rceil ,$$

the maximal number of sections allowed for d_i, r_i , and g . Note that

$$(4.1) \quad d_i + (r_i - \hat{k}_i - 1)g < r_i \leq d_i + (r_i - \hat{k}_i)g .$$

If $\mu_1 \neq 0$, then we can replace E_1 by $\widehat{E}_1$ as above. If $\mu_1 = 0$, we may replace E_1 with $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus r_1}$, with $\hat{k}_1 = r_1 \geq k_1$ sections. By our choices of $\hat{k}_i$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \hat{k}_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} k_i \geq k$.

Let $0 \leq \mu_1 < \dots < \mu_s \leq \mu < \mu_{s+1} < \dots < \mu_{\ell} \leq 1$. Suppose first that $\mu_s \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.2 there is a nontrivial extension $0 \rightarrow \widehat{E}_s \rightarrow G \rightarrow \widehat{E}_{s+1} \rightarrow 0$, with $h^0(G) = \hat{k}_s + \hat{k}_{s+1}$. Thus,

$$h^0 \left(\widehat{E}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \widehat{E}_{s-1} \oplus G \oplus \widehat{E}_{s+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \widehat{E}_{\ell} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \hat{k}_i \geq k.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 3.4 there is a hermitian connection on $\widehat{E}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \widehat{E}_{s-1} \oplus G \oplus \widehat{E}_{s+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \widehat{E}_{\ell}$ and linearly independent sections $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ such that $f_{\tau}(A, \vec{\varphi}) < f_{\tau}(A_{\infty}, 0) = m$, contradicting the minimality of $(A_{\infty}, 0)$.

Now suppose $\mu_s = \mu_1 = 0$, $\mu < \mu_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq \ell$. If for any $2 \leq i \leq \ell$ we have $r_i < d_i + (r_i - \hat{k}_i)g$, then by Lemma 3.2 there is a nontrivial extension $0 \rightarrow \widehat{E}_1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \widehat{E}_i \rightarrow 0$, with $h^0(G) = \hat{k}_1 + \hat{k}_i$, and Proposition 3.4 yields a contradiction as before. Suppose that for all $2 \leq i \leq \ell$, $r_i = d_i + (r_i - \hat{k}_i)g$. We claim that $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \hat{k}_i > k$. For if $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \hat{k}_i = k$, then $\sum_{i=2}^{\ell} (r_i - \hat{k}_i) = r - k$, and hence

$$r > \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} r_i = \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} d_i + (r_i - \hat{k}_i)g = d + (r - k)g;$$

a contradiction. Thus, we may replace $\widehat{E}_1$ by a bundle $\widehat{E}'_1$ having $\hat{k}'_1 = \hat{k}_1 - 1$ sections. According to Lemma 3.2 there is a nontrivial extension $0 \rightarrow \widehat{E}'_1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \widehat{E}_2 \rightarrow 0$, with $h^0(G) = \hat{k}'_1 + \hat{k}_2$, $\hat{k}'_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell} \hat{k}_i \geq k$, and Proposition 3.4 yields a contradiction as before.

Case II. First notice that by the invariance of the Yang-Mills-Higgs equations under the natural action by $U(k)$, we may assume that $\varphi_1^{\infty}, \dots, \varphi_k^{\infty}$ form an L^2 -orthogonal set of sections. In particular, we may assume that there exists $s \leq k$ such that $\varphi_1^{\infty}, \dots, \varphi_s^{\infty}$ are linearly independent and $\varphi_{s+1}^{\infty}, \dots, \varphi_k^{\infty} \equiv 0$. Write $E_{\varphi} = E'_{\varphi} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus t}$, where E'_{φ} contains no split factor of $\mathcal{O}$. Set $k_i = h^0(E_i)$, $k_{\varphi} = h^0(E_{\varphi})$, $k'_{\varphi} = h^0(E'_{\varphi}) = k_{\varphi} - t$. By semi-continuity of cohomology, $k_{\varphi} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} k_i \geq k$. As in Case I, we may replace each E_i by a Hermitian-Yang-Mills bundle $\widehat{E}_i$ such that $h^0(\widehat{E}_i) = \hat{k}_i \geq k_i$, and (4.1) is satisfied for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. On the other hand, since E_{φ} satisfies the k - τ -vortex equation for $\tau = \mu + \gamma$ as above, it follows that E'_{φ} is τ -stable. Therefore, $0 \neq \mu(E'_{\varphi}) \leq \mu = \mu(E)$; and since $\tau < 1$, we obtain from Lemma 3.1 that $r'_{\varphi} \leq d_{\varphi} + (r'_{\varphi} - k'_{\varphi})g$. Finally, notice that since E^{∞} is unstable, $\mu_{\ell} > \mu$. We may now apply Lemma 3.2 to E'_{φ} and $\widehat{E}_{\ell}$ to obtain a nontrivial

extension $0 \rightarrow E'_\varphi \rightarrow G \rightarrow \widehat{E}_\ell \rightarrow 0$, with $h^0(G) = k'_\varphi + \hat{k}_\ell$. It follows that

$$h^0\left(G \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus t} \oplus \widehat{E}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \widehat{E}_{\ell-1}\right) = k_\varphi + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \hat{k}_i \geq k.$$

By Proposition 3.4 there is a hermitian connection A on $G \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus t} \oplus \widehat{E}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \widehat{E}_{\ell-1}$ and linearly independent sections $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ extending $\varphi_1^\infty, \dots, \varphi_s^\infty$ such that $f_\tau(A, \tilde{\varphi}) < f_\tau(A_\infty, \tilde{\varphi}^\infty) = m$, again contradicting the minimality of m . This completes the proof of the Main Theorem.

5. STABLE BUNDLES

We conclude by proving the Corollary stated in the Introduction. Consider first part (ii). The upper bound follows from Lemma 3.1. By the Main Theorem, it suffices to show that if E is semistable with $0 < \mu < 1$ and $h^0(E) = r - 1$, then E is stable. Suppose to the contrary. Then we can find a semistable subbundle E' with $Q = E/E'$ stable and $\mu(E') = \mu(Q) = \mu$. By Lemma 3.1, $h^0(E') \leq r' - 1$, and $h^0(Q) \leq r_Q - 1$; contradiction.

Now consider part (i). Tensoring by ample line bundles allows us to restrict to the case $0 < d \leq r$. Let $\mathfrak{B}_\tau$ be the set of gauge equivalence classes of solutions to the (one section) τ -vortex equation for bundles of rank r and degree d . By the proof of the Main Theorem, $\mathfrak{B}_\tau \neq \emptyset$. One can therefore show as in [2, 4] that $\mathfrak{B}_\tau$ is a smooth projective variety of dimension $(r^2 - r)(g - 1) + d$ with a morphism $\psi : \mathfrak{B}_\tau \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(r, d)$, where $\mathfrak{M}(r, d)$ is the moduli space of semistable bundles of rank r and degree d . The image of ψ is precisely the set of isomorphism classes of semistable bundles E with $h^0(E) \geq 1$.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that there exists a semistable (resp. stable) bundle E_0 of rank r , degree d , $0 < d \leq r$, and $h^0(E_0) = k \geq 1$. Then there exists a semistable (resp. stable) bundle E of the same rank and degree with $h^0(E) = k - 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $k \leq r$. The case where $d = r$ and E_0 is strictly semistable is trivial. In the other cases, $k < r$, and we may write

$$\beta_0 : 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus k} \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0,$$

where by assumption the connecting homomorphism $\delta_0 : H^0(F) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus k})$ is injective. Consider $\{L_t : t \in D\}$ a smooth local family of line bundles parametrized by the open unit disk $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ and satisfying $L_0 = \mathcal{O}$ and $H^0(L_t) = 0$, $t \neq 0$. Set $G_t = \mathcal{O}^{k-1} \oplus L_t$. The semistability of E_0 implies that $H^0(F^* \otimes G_t) = 0$. Hence, $\{H^1(F^* \otimes G_t) : t \in D\}$ defines a smooth vector bundle V over D . Let $\beta = \{\beta(t) : t \in D\}$ be a nowhere vanishing section of V with $\beta(0) = \beta_0$. Then β defines a smooth family of nonsplit extensions $0 \rightarrow G_t \rightarrow E_t \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ and a smooth family of connecting homomorphisms

$$\delta_t : H^0(F) \longrightarrow H^1(G_t) \subset \Omega^{0,1}(U),$$

where U is the trivial rank k , C^∞ vector bundle on Σ . By assumption, δ_0 is injective; hence, δ_t is injective for small t . It follows that $h^0(E_t) = k - 1$ for small t . Furthermore, since E_0 is semistable (resp. stable) then E_t is also semistable (resp. stable) for small t . $\square$

Since the condition $h^0(E) = 1$ is open in $\mathfrak{B}_\tau$, by the Lemma there exists some component $\mathfrak{B}'_\tau$ of $\mathfrak{B}_\tau$ containing an open dense set $\mathfrak{B}^*_\tau$ consisting of pairs $[E, \varphi]$ with $h^0(E) = 1$. Let $W^* = \psi(\mathfrak{B}^*_\tau)$. We will assume that W^* is contained in the strictly semistable locus of $\mathfrak{M}(r, d)$ and derive a contradiction. We may assume that each irreducible component of W^* is contained a subvariety S parametrized by bundles of the form $E_1 \oplus E_2$, where E_1 is stable with $h^0(E_1) \geq 1$, E_2 is semistable, and $\mu(E_1) = \mu(E_2) = \mu(E)$. It follows that

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim S &= (r_1^2 - r_1)(g - 1) + d_1 + r_2^2(g - 1) + 1 \\ &= r^2(g - 1) - 2r_1r_2(g - 1) - r_1(g - 1) + d_1 + 1 . \end{aligned}$$

For $[E] \in W^* \subset S$, we have

$$(5.2) \quad \dim_{[E, \varphi]} \mathfrak{B}_\tau \leq \dim_{[E]} S + \dim \psi^{-1}([E]) .$$

The dimension of the fiber of ψ is given by $h^1(E_2 \otimes E_1^*)$. Assume first that a generic E_1 is not isomorphic to any factor of E_2 . Then the fiber dimension is $r_1r_2(g - 1)$. Thus, we obtain from (5.1) and (5.2) that

$$(r^2 - r)(g - 1) + d \leq r^2(g - 1) - r_1r_2(g - 1) - r_1(g - 1) + d_1 + 1 ,$$

or,

$$r_2(r_1 - 1)(g - 1) + d_2 - 1 \leq 0 .$$

This yields a contradiction in all cases other than $r = d = 2$. The latter situation is covered by the following construction which is verified by straightforward dimension counting:

Proposition 5.2. *For generic line bundles L of degree 2 and generic extensions $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$, E is a stable rank 2 bundle of degree 2 with a nontrivial holomorphic section.*

In case E_1 is isomorphic to some factor of E_2 , the fiber dimension increases by 1. On the other hand, in this case W^* is contained in a strict subvariety of S , so by (5.2) the same argument applies. Isomorphisms with more factors are handled similarly. This completes the proof of the Corollary.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. Griffiths, and J. Harris, "Geometry of Algebraic Curves," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [2] A. Bertram, G. Daskalopoulos, and R. Wentworth, *Gromov invariants for holomorphic maps from Riemann surfaces to Grassmannians*, to appear in Journal of the AMS.
- [3] S. Bradlow, *Special metrics and stability for holomorphic bundles with global sections*, J. Diff. Geom. **33** (1991), 169-213.

- [4] S. Bradlow and G. Daskalopoulos, *Moduli of stable pairs for holomorphic bundles over Riemann surfaces*, Int. J. of Math. **2** (1991), 477-513.
- [5] L. Brambila Paz, I. Grzegarczyk, and P. Newstead, *Geography of Brill-Noether loci for small slope*, in preparation.
- [6] G. Daskalopoulos, *The topology of the space of stable bundles on a Riemann surface*, J. Diff. Geom. **36** (1992), 699-746.
- [7] P. Newstead, *Vector bundles on algebraic curves*, problem list prepared by Europroj, 1994.
- [8] J. Rade, *On the Yang-Mills heat equation in two and three dimensions*, J. Reine. Angew. Math. **431** (1992), 123-163.
- [9] N. Sundaram, *Special divisors and vector bundles*, Tôhoku Math. J. **39** (1987), 175-213.
- [10] M. Teixidor I Bigas, *Brill-Noether theory for stable vector bundles*, Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), 385-400.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912
E-mail address: `daskal@gauss.math.brown.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, CA 92717
E-mail address: `raw@math.uci.edu`